FILED

MAR 1 1 2022

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

San Francisco Division

DJENEBA SIDIBE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SUTTER HEALTH, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-04854-LB

VERDICT FORM

Tying Claim

1.	Did Sutter sell inpatient hospital services in one or more of the tying hospitals only if the
	buyer also purchased inpatient hospital services at one or more of the tied hospitals?

Yes: _____ No: ____

If you answered yes to question 1, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions in this section, and proceed to the "Unreasonable-Course-of-Conduct Claim" section.

2,	the tying markets (Antioch, Berkeley-Oakland) to coerc	Auburn, Crescent City, Jo e at least some buyers of r in one or more of the tie	ent hospital services in one or more of ackson, Lakeport, Tracy, and the services to purchase inpatient ed markets (Modesto, Sacramento,		
-3	Yes:	No:			
	If you answered no to question 2, stop here, answer no further questions in this section proceed to the "Unreasonable-Course-of-Conduct Claim" section. If you answered you then answer yes or no for each tying market and then proceed to question 3.				
	Antioch	Yes:	No:		
	Auburn	Yes:	No:		
	Crescent City	Yes:	No:		
	Jackson	Yes:	No:		
	Lakeport	Yes:	No:		
	Tracy	Yes:	No:		
	Berkeley–Oakland	Yes:	No:		
3.	3. Did the conduct involve a substantial amount of sales, in terms of the total dollar value of inpatient hospital services at the tied hospitals?				
	Yes:	No:			
	If you answered yes to quest answer no further questions Conduct Claim" section.	tion 3, then answer quest in this section, and proce	ion 4. If you answered no, stop here, eed to the "Unreasonable-Course-of-		
4.	4. Was Sutter's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to the plaintiffs?				
	Yes:	No:			
	Proceed to the next section.				

United States District Court

Unreasonable-Course-of-Conduct Claim

_				
2	5.	5. Did Sutter force the class health plans to agree to contracts that had terms that prevented the plans from steering patients to lower-cost non-Sutter hospitals within the plan network?		
4		Yes: No:		
5		If you answered no to question 5, stop here, answer no further questions in this section, and		
6		proceed to the "Damages" section. If you answered yes to question 5, then answer question 6.		
7	6.	Was the effect of Sutter's conduct to restrain competition?		
8		Yes: No:		
9		If you answered yes to question 6, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions in this section, and proceed to the "Damages" section.		
10		answer no further questions in this section, and proceed to the Damages section.		
11	7.	Did the anticompetitive effect of Sutter's restraint outweigh any beneficial effect on competition?		
12		Yes: No:		
13				
14		If you answered yes to question 7, then answer question 8. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions in this section, and proceed to the "Damages" section.		
15	8. Was Sutter's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to the plaintiffs?			
16 17		Yes: No:		
18		Proceed to question 9.		
19	Dama	ges		
20				
21	9.	Did you answer yes to question 4 or yes to question 8?		
22		Yes: No:		
23		If you answered yes to question 9, proceed to question 10. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.		
24				
25	10. What are the damages to the class?			
26		\$		
27				
28	Signed: (Presiding Juror) Dated: MARCH 11, 2022			
	VERDI	CT FORM - No. 12-cv-04854-LB 3		